

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/677,802 10/02/2000		2000	Yukiko Inoue	M2047-6	M2047-6 3619	
7278	7590	02/22/2005		E	XAMINER	
DARBY & D	DARBY P.C.	РНП	PHILIPPE, GIMS S			
P. O. BOX 52:	57					
NEW YORK,	NY 10150-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
, and the second			2613			

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/677,802	INOUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gims S Philippe	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Jules</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-11,13-15 and 17 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,10,11,14 and 15 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4,8,9,13 and 17 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The oath of the confidence of the confid	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/677,802

Art Unit: 2613

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 9, 13, and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4, 8-9, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai (US Patent no. 5642239) in view of Shahraray (US Patent no. 6055025).

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 17, Nagai discloses a method and apparatus for detecting a scene change in a compressed moving picture comprising a scene change judging portion for judging a scene change (See Nagai col. 7, lines 49-67).

It is noted that Nagai is silent about retrieving scene changes that exist at a start and an end point of a specified interval among scene changes detected by the scene change judging portion.

Shahraray discloses a method and apparatus for detecting scene change including the step of retrieving scene changes that exist at a start and an end point of a specified

Application/Control Number: 09/677,802

Art Unit: 2613

interval among scene changes detected by the scene change judging portion (See Sahraray col. 8, lines 49-56).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying Nagai's scene change detection method by incorporating Sahraray' step of retrieving scene changes that exist at a start and an end point of a specified interval among scene changes detected by the scene change judging portion. The motivation for performing such modification is to be able to detect and reject false scene change usually from flash photography.

As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 4. In addition, Nagai further provides using a threshold as a criterion on the basis of a maximum quantity variation in order to determine a scene change (See Nagai col. 7, lines 14-29).

As per claim 9, Nagai discloses a method for detecting a scene change in a compressed moving picture comprising inputting a compressed moving picture in which field structure images and frame structure images exist together, and detecting a scene change in the inputted compressed moving picture (See fig. 8, and col. 7, lines 30-54). Also note that Nagai further discloses using frame and field structure together in col. 8, lines 38-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/677,802 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

The examiner would also remind the applicant that the limitations of claim 9 are considered broad, therefore, the sections cited in Nagai do meet the claimed limitations.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 10-11, 14, 15 allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S Philippe whose telephone number is (703) 305-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

**GSP** 

February 21, 2005